

GOVERNANCE AND VOTING POLICY - 2019

What we expect of public companies and how we carry out our ownership responsibilities

CONTENT

CONTENT	1
1. INTRODUCTION	2
2. GOVERNANCE AND VOTING PRINCIPLES	3
3. PROXY VOTING APPROACH	5
3.1 Client Approach	5
3.2 The Proxy Voting Committee	5
3.3 Voting scope	5
3.4 Proxy Voting Process	6
3.5 Engagement with companies	7
3.6 Conflicts Of Interest	8
3.7 Escalation	9
3.8 Collective action	9
3.9 Transparency & Reporting	9
4. VOTING GUIDELINES	10
4.1 Reports and Accounts	11
4.2 Financial Operations	13
4.3 Board elections	15
4.4 Compensation practices	16
4.5 Other Voting Issues	19



BNP PARIBAS
ASSET MANAGEMENT

The asset manager
for a changing
world

1. INTRODUCTION

The present policy applies to BNP Paribas Asset Management Holding (BNPP AM Holding), as parent entity of BNP Paribas Asset Management France (BNPP AM France), the asset management business line of BNP Paribas Group and, as such, as ultimate owner of the Corporate Governance and Sustainable Investment policies of BNP Paribas Asset Management (BNPP AM).

At BNPP AM, we believe that promoting good corporate governance standards is an essential part of our ownership responsibilities. Corporate governance refers to the system by which a corporation is directed and controlled. It relates to the functioning of the managing board, supervision and control mechanisms, their inter-relationships and their relations with stakeholders. Good corporate governance creates the framework that ensures that a corporation is managed in the long-term interest of its stakeholders. Therefore, BNPP AM expects all corporations in which we invest to comply with high corporate governance standards.

Voting at Annual General Meetings is a key component of the ongoing dialogue with companies in which we invest on behalf of our clients and forms an integral part of BNPP AM's investment process. This voting policy applies to BNPP AM and to all funds which have delegated proxy voting authority to BNPP AM, including the voting rights associated with shares held in Undertakings for the Collective Investment of Transferable Securities (UCITS), alternative investment funds (AIF), foreign investment funds and investment mandates. We are committed to ensure consistent¹ exercise of these policies, across portfolios and markets. However, we do take into account specific circumstances relating to individual companies such as geographic and regulatory differences, as well as company size.² We also accommodate certain custom voting policies, provided for specific client mandates. External investment managers to which we delegate portfolio management are required to have a proxy voting policy and should exercise voting rights in line with our expectations and market practices, and to report regularly on results achieved.

Our policies and guidelines are reviewed annually in order to reflect the evolution of corporate governance codes and market practices, and are approved by our Proxy Voting Committee and then by BNPP AM's Board of Directors.

The first section of this document outlines our key governance and proxy voting principles. The second section describes our proxy voting process. The final section is a set of guidelines that address key voting issues relating to: approval of accounts and management reports, financial operations, appointment and remuneration of directors and executives, and other types of resolutions.

¹ Subject to technical and legal constraints.

² For example, certain principles designed for large companies, including those relating to transparency, may in some cases, be too onerous for small companies to adopt.

2. GOVERNANCE AND VOTING PRINCIPLES

The following principles describe BNPP AM's expectations of the public companies in which we invest. We believe that Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) issues impact the value and reputation of entities in which we invest, in addition to driving systemic risks and opportunities. We are therefore committed to incorporate ESG standards into our investment processes and voting criteria, in the long-term interests of our clients. These principles act as a guiding framework by which BNPP AM executes its ownership responsibilities.

1. FOCUS ON LONG-TERM SUSTAINABLE VALUE CREATION

The Board of Directors plays a critical oversight role to ensure that companies deliver long-term sustainable value. Corporate governance practices should keep the board's attention focused on this goal with a clear strategy that takes into account all key stakeholders. Boards should maintain an open dialogue with investors and be prepared to discuss their long-term plans for sustainable value creation.

2. PROTECT SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS

Shareholders play a key role in our system of corporate accountability and value creation. Our rights as shareholders allow us to take action to defend the interests of our clients, when companies underperform our expectations. It is therefore critical that shareholder rights be preserved and, where necessary, strengthened:

- Companies should ensure that the rights of all investors are protected and should treat investors equitably, notably by respecting the principle of one share - one vote - one dividend.
- All shareholders should be given the opportunity to vote on all decisions concerning fundamental corporate changes.
- Capital increases should be carefully controlled to minimize dilution of existing shareholders.
- Anti-takeover devices should not be used.
- Shareholders should have opportunities to address material concerns, including through direct access to the proxy to nominate directors and through the submission of shareholder proposals.

3. ENSURE INDEPENDENT, EFFECTIVE AND ACCOUNTABLE BOARD STRUCTURE

There should be a sufficient counter-balancing structure at the board and its committees with a strong presence of qualified, engaged and independent directors to allow for effective oversight of management, with independent leadership. Formal evaluation of the board, executive sessions and succession plans should be in place. Directors should be elected annually, by a majority vote of shareholders. Board composition should include the expertise necessary to understand and address emerging risks facing the company and its key stakeholders.

4. ALIGN INCENTIVE STRUCTURES WITH LONG-TERM INTERESTS OF STAKEHOLDERS

Executive compensation plans should be aligned with the long-term performance of the company, and should discourage irresponsible risk-taking, strengthen employee loyalty, take into consideration their impact on inequality and aim to foster inclusive growth. They should include non-financial targets, including those relating to the key sustainability risks and opportunities presented by the company's business model. Compensation programs should not restrict the company's ability to attract and retain talented executives, and should respect best market practices. They should be disclosed to shareholders in a clear and thorough way, and be subject to shareholder approval.

5. ENSURE RESPECT FOR SOCIETY AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Long-term sustainable returns depend upon proactive and effective management of ESG risks and opportunities. We expect companies to understand the risks they face and the risks they create, as well as the opportunities that ESG might bring to their businesses, and to act responsibly towards all stakeholders. All companies should strive to meet high corporate governance, environmental and social standards to protect stakeholders' long-term interests.

6. DISCLOSE ACCURATE, ADEQUATE, AND TIMELY INFORMATION

Companies should ensure that timely and accurate disclosure is made on financial and operating results, ownership issues, lobbying activities and performance on key ESG issues, including full disclosure of greenhouse gas emissions and commitments to combat climate change. Annual audits of the financial statements carried out on behalf of shareholders by independent external auditors should be required for all companies.

3. PROXY VOTING APPROACH

3.1 CLIENT APPROACH

We advise our clients to delegate proxy voting authority to BNPP AM in order to safeguard their shareholder interests. BNPP AM shall vote the proxies of its clients solely in the interest of its clients and the ultimate beneficiaries of the funds for which they are responsible. We shall not subordinate the interests of our clients to unrelated objectives.

For clients that have delegated proxy voting authority to us, we will make every reasonable effort to ensure that proxies are received and voted in accordance with these proxy voting guidelines. All BNPP AM clients are informed that this policy and proxy voting procedures are in place.

All stewardship activities related to engagement, monitoring and voting are carried out by BNPP AM staff. BNPP AM will discharge its stewardship responsibilities' with no outsourcing, in order to serve its clients' best interests.

Although we seek to apply these policies consistently, we will always take into account company-specific circumstances. For that reason, these policies are presented in the form of general principles, which are designed to identify the kinds of practices we would like to see, and those that present concerns.

In executing our proxy voting responsibilities, BNPP AM seeks to develop a generally constructive and positive approach with the boards of companies it invests in, clearly setting out its expectations as a diligent steward of assets. But BNPP AM will not hesitate to abstain or oppose management proposals that run counter to these policies, or support shareholder proposals consistent with our policies, which are designed to advance the long-term interests of our clients.

We use the services of proxy voting providers ISS, which provides voting research and a voting platform for all companies, and Proxinvest, which provides research on French companies.

These proxy voting providers are used to help us implement our policies. We do not delegate decision-making authority to them, as BNPP AM will take each voting decision for every shareholder's meeting internally with no outsourcing of the final decision in order to serve its clients' best interests.

Arrangements with proxy voting providers are reviewed annually.

3.2 THE PROXY VOTING COMMITTEE

BNPP AM has appointed a Proxy Voting Committee (PVC) that is empowered to establish voting guidelines and is responsible to ensure that these guidelines and procedures are followed. This committee is composed of members of the management and compliance teams. As proxy voting is considered an integral part of the investment process, the ultimate responsibility for proxy voting lies with the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of BNPP AM.

3.3 VOTING SCOPE

Voting rights are exercised on equities for mutual funds, UCITS, AIF, foreign investment funds, mandates and for Employee Investment Funds for which voting rights are delegated to BNPP AM.

We do not vote on 100% of our holdings as it would imply:

- A significant increase of the costs of proxy voting for clients;³ and
- A need to outsource a greater value added part of the voting activity, which would reduce the qualitative and committed aspects of our voting process.


Our voting scope is made up of companies for which aggregated positions meet one of the three following conditions:⁴

- Represents 90% of our aggregated stock positions
- Represents 0,1% or more of the company's market capitalization
- Ad hoc demand or local market regulations

These factors ensure that we concentrate our efforts on positions held in a wide proportion in our assets under management, and participate efficiently and effectively at shareholders' meetings of companies in which our collective investment schemes hold a significant proportion of the capital.

3.4 PROXY VOTING PROCESS

The following points outline the key steps of the proxy voting process from the notification of voting agendas in the context of Annual General Meetings (AGM) or Extraordinary General Meetings (EGM) to actual voting execution:



³ Custodial and proxy voting provider costs.

⁴ We will not vote ballots when local markets impose meaningful costs for casting the vote (e.g, if a Power of Attorney is needed per AGM or per funds; if our custodian doesn't offer the proxy voting services in the country, etc.)

BNPP AM applies the following process to stock lending;

- We monitor the number of shares on loan prior to a vote.
- When we determine that too many securities are on loan, or for certain significant votes, we will recall our shares or restrict stock lending in order to be able to vote on the majority of our position.

Because of recent regulations on stock lending matters, we rarely have a significant proportion of stock on loan near a general meeting.

3.5 ENGAGEMENT WITH COMPANIES

BNPP AM maintains an active program of corporate engagement on a wide range of social, environmental and governance issues. These engagements are designed to enhance the long-term value of our shareholdings and to foster corporate governance best practices, social responsibility and environmental stewardship.

An important component of that programme is our commitment to focused engagements linked to the voting activity.

These dialogues with companies can be opened on our own initiative or on the request of the issuer, and are concentrated on our main positions in terms of assets or where we hold a significant portion of the share capital.

These dialogues are systematically conducted with companies held by our active managers and included in the main French index (CAC 40) or if we are one of the largest shareholders. Outside of this group, dialogues are mainly focused on AGMs that present important governance or financial stakes.

Our preference is to engage directly with independent directors (chair of the board or a committee, lead independent director). If this is not possible, we hold meetings with the secretary of the board, Investor Relations, or the Sustainability Investment team.

The goals of these engagements are:

Outside annual general meeting season:

- Promote a regular dialogue with companies covering various topics such as strategy, long-term performance, risk management, ESG issues or other emerging concerns; and
- Communicate our voting policy to promote good corporate governance and to prepare for the next general meeting of the issuer.

During annual general meeting season:

- Obtain additional information on voting proposals, notably where they seem to depart from best governance practice; and
- Express our concerns about specific resolutions that contradict our voting policy.

Depending on specific circumstances, the dialogue may lead to a modification or withdrawal of resolutions from the ballot before the annual general meeting, or the provision of additional information that prompts a change of our vote.

BNPP AM's Corporate Governance team performs an annual assessment of the effectiveness of their efforts. Finally, BNPP AM often takes part in collective initiatives and working groups⁵ in order to understand market developments on corporate governance and influence and promote its vision of good governance in companies.

⁵ For example, BNPP AM is an active member of the International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN), and chairs the "Corporate Governance committee" of the French Association of asset managers (AFG).

3.6 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

As an asset manager owned by a large financial institution, BNPP AM Holding can sometimes be faced with potential conflicts between its clients' interests on one hand and those of BNPP AM on the other, given specific circumstances, including the following:

- Employees being linked personally or professionally with a company whose securities are submitted to vote;
- Business relations existing between the company whose shares are being voted on and BNP Paribas Group; or
- Exercise of voting rights concerning shares of BNP Paribas Group or of significant participations or holdings of the Group.

BNPP AM has implemented several principles, mechanisms, and decision processes, to ensure that conflicts of interest do not influence our votes, such as:

- BNPP AM's Voting Policy stresses that voting rights are exercised in the best interests of clients in order to protect and enhance the long-term value of their shareholdings.
- These Governance and Voting Principles, which determine the decision-making process for the exercise of voting rights is approved by the Board of Directors, which includes independent directors.
- Employees must comply with BNPP AM's Code of Ethics and declare any outside business activity. All employees receive annual training on these policies and must complete annual certifications of compliance.
- "Chinese walls" between BNPP AM's entities and the other companies of the BNPP Group ensure that BNPP AM employees remain independent and neutral in the exercise of their responsibilities.

Records of all potential conflicts of interest and their resolution are kept as part of the PVC minutes.

Material conflicts of interest that are identified trigger an escalation process involving top management, including the following:

- The relevant CIO
- The head of Compliance and senior managers of other Control Functions involved.
- The CEO

At each level, the "best interest of clients" principle is paramount in the decision outcome.

When a conflict of interest is identified, it is duly disclosed to the concerned clients where and as applicable laws so require.

3.7 ESCALATION

Investor-issuer dialogue is the foundation of good stewardship – it allows for trusting relationships to be built over time, permitting candid solution-oriented discussions about issues that might not otherwise be addressed. Dialogue, however, is a two-way street and there are times when stronger measures are necessary to encourage a company to come to the table and discuss our concerns.

When a step-up of monitoring activity is required to ensure protection and enhancement of our clients' interests and shareholder value, BNPP AM can decide to, *inter alia*, make public statements, propose shareholder resolutions, call an extraordinary general meeting or intervene jointly with other institutions.

These decisions are taken on a case-by-case basis, to ensure that our concerns have been properly heard and dealt with.

For example, BNPP AM has co-filed "Aiming for A" proposals seeking improved climate reporting with mining sector companies in 2016, and at Exxon-Mobil in 2017 and 2019. We publicly announced our support for these proposals in advance of these general meetings.

3.8 COLLECTIVE ACTION

When collective action is likely to enhance our ability to engage with a company, and it is permitted by law and regulation, BNPP AM will work with other investment firms depending on the issue of concern and the alignment of view amongst the investor group.

To that end, BNPP AM is an active member of formal groups and initiatives internationally that facilitate communication between shareholders and companies on corporate governance and social, ethical and environmental matters. We also engage collectively on matters of public policy. These organizations include the PRI (the United Nations-supported Principles for Responsible Investment), the IIGCC (International Investors Group on Climate Change), the ICGN (International Corporate Governance Network) and Ceres.

BNPP AM has a collective action process, which systematically reviews the cases where a collective action could be initiated to protect the value of our investors' assets.

3.9 TRANSPARENCY & REPORTING

BNPP AM is committed to transparency in its proxy voting approach and execution. A copy of this policy can be accessed on our website.

We publish an annual report, providing an overview of proxy voting activities and engagement, and provide quarterly reports to clients, upon request.

Lastly, voting records of individual agenda items at company meetings have been made publicly available since July 2018, in a searchable database on our website.

4. VOTING GUIDELINES

These Guidelines provide detailed information on how BNPP AM will vote on the most common proxy voting items. They address key voting issues which can be grouped in four themes:

1. Reports and approval of accounts
2. Financial operations
3. Board elections
4. Remuneration
5. Other relevant issues (e.g. related-party transactions, shareholder proposals).

For each issue, these guidelines highlight criteria that reflect or tend towards best practices and that we actively support, as well as issues that may trigger an “against” or “abstain” vote. These factors tend to have a significant impact on our voting decisions but do not automatically imply an “against” or “abstain” vote as we take into account the specific circumstances of each company.

Voting decisions are based on the following considerations:

- *For*: The proposed resolution reflects good practice and is in stakeholders’ long-term best interests.
- *Abstain*: The proposal raises issues of concern for stakeholders or lacks sufficient information.
- *Against*: The proposal is not acceptable and is not in the stakeholders’ long-term best interests.

The following guidelines describe the factors that we consider in casting our votes.

4.1 REPORTS AND ACCOUNTS

Voting issue	For	Abstain	Against
Financial Statements / Director and Auditor Reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information provided by the Board presents a full and fair view of company affairs and financial situation, at least 21 days before the AGM. The accounts have been recommended by an independent⁶ audit committee. The company provides adequate disclosures on key financial and extra-financial risks. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The accounts are not available at the cut-off date to cast our vote. The company does not provide adequate disclosure on environmental and social issues either in its annual report or elsewhere. The company does not report properly on their carbon footprint (scope 1, 2 and 3, when appropriate), and does not communicate nor does it want to engage in relation to its business strategy to mitigate and adapt to climate change. The company has engaged in serious violations of the UN Global Compact Principles.⁷ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The auditors express reservations or refuse to certify the accounts after having discovered serious irregularities. The Board has not set up an audit committee (to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis for smaller companies and market practice).⁸
Discharge of Board and Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no contentious issue about the board or the management of the company. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are serious questions about actions of the board or management for the year in question. Legal action is being taken against the board by other shareholders. The auditors had serious reservations about the financial statements or refused to certify the accounts. 	

⁶ The audit committee is composed of more than 50% independent members, does not include an executive director, and its members have financial competence.

⁷ The ten principles of the UN Global Compact are available at <https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/mission/principles>.

⁸ Market capitalization under 1 billion Euros.

Voting issue	For	Abstain	Against
Allocation of Income	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A sustainable dividend is a dividend with a reasonable pay-out ratio (Between 25 and 100%) that does not undermine the company's capacity to invest for the future, and does not affect the remuneration of other stakeholders. The company has provided sufficient information to indicate the level of dividend. In case of payment of the dividend in shares, the shareholder has the possibility to be paid in cash. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The payout ratio is excessively higher compared to last year and the company has failed to provide an explanation for this modification (to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis for growth companies which usually need to conserve more cash than mature companies). The mark-up of the preferred dividend is more than 10% of the regular dividend. The company does not have a sustainable dividend in place. We consider the payout ratio to be excessive if the company has a ratio above 100% for two consecutive years or the level could compromise the long-term strategy of the company. 	
Appointment of Auditors and Approval of Audit Fees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The auditors have been recommended by an independent audit committee.⁹ The audit committee has disclosed its policy for the provision of non-audit services by the auditors (e.g. excluded services and pre-approval works). There is full disclosure of audit fees and advisory fees. The auditors do not provide advisory services. Otherwise, the remuneration for advisory services does not cast doubt on the auditor's independence. There is a mandatory rotation of the auditors after no more than 15 years, with a clear water period of at least 5 years before the auditor can be re-appointed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advisory or audit fees are not disclosed. Audit fees are equal to non-audit fees, presenting a potential conflict of interest. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Board has not set up an audit committee. For smaller companies that lack an audit committee, if at least one executive sits on the board. There are potential concerns regarding the independence of the auditors, such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-audit fees exceeding audit fees Appointments exceeding a 6-year mandate There is reason to believe that the independent auditor gave an opinion that is neither accurate nor indicative of the company's financial position.

⁹ The audit committee is composed of more than 50% independent members, does not include an executive director, and its members have financial competence.

4.2 FINANCIAL OPERATIONS

Voting issue	For	Abstain	Against
Authority to issue shares or securities giving access to capital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authority respects the “one share – one vote – one dividend” principle. The authority is suitably justified and limited, in amount and duration (two years). The authority includes pre-emptive rights (or otherwise priority rights of at least 5 days), does not create significant imbalances between the different categories of shareholders, and avoids the dilution risk for current shareholders. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authorization respects our limits, but all share issue authorities in aggregate exceed 50% of the issued share capital.¹⁰ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authority with pre-emptive rights exceeds 50% of issued share capital (to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis¹⁰). The authority without pre-emptive rights and with priority rights or with a specific object¹¹ exceeds 20% of issued share capital. The authority without pre-emptive rights and without priority rights exceeds 5% of issued share capital (to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis¹⁰). The authority is likely to be used as an anti-take-over measure.
Share Repurchase Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Share repurchase represents best use of company resources and is limited both in volume and duration, the discount is limited and the authorization does not exceed 18 months. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The maximum upward and downward deviation exceeds 5% of the average market price over a representative period or 10% if the resolution refers to a day price. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The share repurchase plan meet at least ONE of the following conditions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authorization would be executable during a takeover period. The buyback exceeds 10% of the issued capital.¹² Allows for the reissuance of repurchased shares, in excess of 5% of the issued capital. Use of financial derivatives for share repurchases. There is no limit on the possible discount.
Share issues reserved to employees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authority to issue shares does not create significant imbalances between categories of shareholders. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cumulative volume exceeds 10% of issued capital AND discount over 10% (to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis¹⁰). 	

¹⁰ Exceptions from these guidelines may be granted if the board can give a compelling justification for an increase in excess of the guidelines (e.g., for the financial services industry in light of the regulatory capital ratio requirement).

¹¹ Share capital increases up to 20% to finance external growth operations or conversion of warrants/bonds are permissible.

¹² Including shares held by subsidiaries. We apply a limit of 15% for the UK due to the local code.

Voting issue	For	Abstain	Against
Debt restructuring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The level of dilution given the full conversion of securities is not excessive. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dilution risk is too high for the ownership interests of existing shareholders and to future earnings. The proposal would result in a change of control at the company. If bankruptcy or the threat of bankruptcy is the main factor driving the restructuring. 	
Mergers and Acquisitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The merger or acquisition makes commercial and strategic sense for the company. The proposal is beneficial to shareholders and the impact on voting rights is not disproportionate. The combined company has a better governance structure. The operation concerns a subsidiary and is considered an internal restructuring. 	<p>Given the complex nature of most merger and acquisition proposals, such issues will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis from a transparency, corporate governance and a financial point of view. The limits concerning capital increases¹³ will not apply on merger cases. Issues that will be taken into account, where sufficient information is available, include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The rationale driving the transaction The impact of the merger on shareholder value The offer price i.e., cost vs. premium Financial viability of the combined companies as a single entity and the associated integration risks An analysis of the arm's length nature of the transaction, potential conflicts of interest and an assessment of the deal maker's "good faith" The presence or lack of a fairness opinion Proposed changes in corporate governance and their impact on shareholder rights Impact on community stakeholders and employees in both workforces 	
Corporate Restructuring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No conflicts of interest among the various parties. A shareholder vote on a legitimate corporate restructuring. The restructuring does not create significant imbalances between categories of shareholders. Shareholder value is being preserved. 	<p>Votes concerning corporate restructuring are considered non-routine and evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Issues that will be taken into account include:</p> <p><u>Spin-offs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential tax and regulatory advantages Planned use of proceeds Market focus and managerial incentives <p><u>Asset Sales</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on the balance sheet and working capital Value received for the asset and the potential elimination of diseconomies <p><u>Liquidations</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Management's efforts to pursue other alternatives Appraisal value of the assets The compensation plan for executives managing the liquidation 	

¹³ See previous page.

4.3 BOARD ELECTIONS

Board elections	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Board of Directors (or Supervisory Board) is independent (more than 50%) from management and represents the interests of majority and minority shareholders. • Specialized committees are composed of a majority of independent members with an independent Chair (The audit and the remuneration committees do not include an executive director). • Candidates are proposed by an independent nomination committee. We are in favour of annual votes or a maximum of 4 year mandates. • The board size is less than 18 members. • There is an open dialogue between the board (independent members) and its investors. • The Chair and CEO roles are split and the Chair is independent. • Non-executive directors have less than five total director mandates or less than three total director mandates for executive directors (including outside CEOs). • There is sufficient biographical information for shareholders to vote on an informed basis. • Shareholders can vote separately on the election of individual directors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The candidate is not independent¹⁴ and: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the board comprises less than 50% independent directors excluding employee representatives (for non-controlled companies) - the board comprises less than 33% independent directors including employees representatives (for controlled companies or in cases of a board with at least 50 percent of compulsory employee representatives) • The candidate is both Chair and CEO of the company¹⁵. • There are no women on the board. The candidate is a male and the percentage of women in the board is below 30%.¹⁶ • A different independence threshold can be applied depending on local code and market practice (with a minimum of 33%).¹⁷ • The director had a very low level of attendance without any satisfactory justification (below 75%). • The company does not report properly on their carbon footprint (scope 1 and 2), and does not communicate nor does it want to engage in relation to its business strategy to mitigate and adapt to climate change. • The director failed to meet her/his fiduciary duties which raise doubts about her/his ability to serve the best interests of stakeholders.¹⁸ • The censor is not independent and: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The level of independence on the board (including the censors) are not in line with our guidelines, or - The company does not justify the interest of having a censor.
-----------------	---	---

¹⁴ Factors that may compromise independence include:

- To represent a significant shareholder or be related by close family ties to a corporate officer
- To be an employee or corporate officer of the corporation, or an employee or director of its parent or a company that it consolidates within the previous five years
- To be a chief executive officer of another company (Company B) if one of the following requirements is met: The concerned company (Company A) is directly or indirectly controlled by Company B; An employee or executive of Company A is a director of Company B (within the past 5 years);
- To be a customer, supplier, investment banker or commercial banker that is material for the corporation or its group, or a significant part of whose business the corporation or its group accounts, or to have been an auditor of the corporation within the previous five years; or
- To have been a director of the corporation for 12 years or more or stricter depending on local code.

¹⁵ Unless combined role is temporary for 2 years maximum or the CEO/Chair does not have a link with the significant/dominant shareholder

¹⁶ Application of a threshold of 30% of women on board in Europe and North America, starting in 2020.

¹⁷ For example, in the US, the threshold level requirement is 66%.

¹⁸ For example, the Company did not respond to a majority shareholder vote last year, the director failed to gain majority support, the by-laws contain unfriendly restrictions on shareholders' rights, there has been a restatement of the financial accounts, or there have been substantial ESG controversies, violations of international norms, criminal violations or significant regulatory fines.

4.4 COMPENSATION PRACTICES

Voting issue	For	Abstain	Against
Remuneration policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The company must present a transparent, exhaustive and clear overview of its compensation practices. • The company explains the philosophy of its remuneration policy, including the link with strategy and its human resources policy. • The policy explains the amount, the split, and the evolution between the different remuneration components chosen. • The remuneration schemes are in line with the long-term company performance (e.g. the remuneration committee has considered the impact of share repurchases undertaken during the previous year on relevant performance targets for incentive schemes). • The remuneration scheme has been recommended by a remuneration committee composed of more than 50% independent members and does not include an executive director. • The company has a long-term remuneration policy in place, including extra-financial performance metrics. • The compensation policy includes stock ownership and clawback guidelines for executives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The remuneration policy is unclear or lacks transparency in order for shareholders to have an appropriate opinion upon it. • The remuneration scheme is disproportionate with regards to the evolution of its median employee's remuneration, NEOs, or its relevant peer group. • The remuneration scheme is misaligned with regard to performance (based on share value and/or intrinsic value). The compensation scheme allows a pay-for-failure approach or is not long-term oriented. • If one or few significant elements of the remuneration are not in line with our guidelines below (to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis depending on the company's policy and in light with the company's trend regarding transparency and practices). 	

Voting issue		For	Abstain	Against
Remuneration of executive directors and senior executives	Short-Term remuneration (Fixed and bonus)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The company discloses the rules to establish the base salary and its evolution. It needs to be justified and reasonable. The bonus is linked to transparent, pertinent and challenging criteria, relevant to the company business and strategy. The company discloses performance criteria, their weights and performance targets in absolute terms. The bonus is limited to a certain percentage of the fixed remuneration. Any non-quantifiable part of the bonus is absent or limited. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The company significantly increased the base salary or bonus cap of an executive without a satisfactory explanation, or the increase is not justified based on company performance. The bonus does not have a cap. The bonus is not linked to transparent, pertinent or challenging criteria. The nature and weightings for each performance criteria are not disclosed. The actual level of fulfilment of each performance criteria is not disclosed. 	
	Long-Term incentive plan (Free shares, Stock-options)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The plan must be understandable for shareholders, with specific and quantitative pre-established criteria and targets for future plans, and a vesting and performance period of at least 5 years. The company discloses a cap, performance criteria, their weights and performance targets in absolute terms. The authorities for executive directors are separated from those for employees. Otherwise, the stock options and the free shares allotted to executive directors are limited explicitly. The volume of the granted additional compensation is reasonable and in line with market practices The company has the possibility to recover partially or entirely a past plan following special circumstances such as a restatement of the accounts (Clawback policy). 	<p>The plan meets at least ONE of the following conditions:¹⁹</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cumulative volume of proposed and outstanding stock option plans and free shares exceeds 10% of issued capital including 3% maximum for corporate officers.²⁰ Volume of stock option plans per year exceeds 2.5% of issued capital.¹⁷ Free shares distribution per year exceeds 1% of issued capital.¹⁷ Significant increase without satisfactory explanations or not justified with regard to performance. Grants of stock options and free shares are not linked integrally to the achievement of transparent, pertinent or challenging performance criteria.²¹ Possibility to re-test exercising conditions. Existence of a discount for executives on stock-options. Sum of vesting and holding periods or performance period less than 3 years (For stock option and free shares). The actual level of fulfilment of each performance criteria is not disclosed. <p>NB. The proposed resolution is assessed in light of the existence and degree of independence of the remuneration committee.</p>	

¹⁹ To be reviewed on a case-by-case basis for different geographic zones in which such conditions may not be a market practice.

²⁰ To be reviewed on a case-by-case basis depending on historic burn rate and on market practices.

²¹ For example, if the company set objectives that are far below market announcements.

Voting issue		For	Abstain	Against
Remuneration of the executive directors and senior executives	Exceptional remuneration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The additional pension schemes respect the following principles: the beneficiary has a significant seniority within the group; is employed with the company at the time of retirement; his/her rights may only account for a reasonable limited percentage of the compensation; the period taken into account for the calculation covers several years; the group of potential beneficiaries must be broader than the sole executive. No severance payment. Otherwise, the amount is reasonable, limited, and will only be given in case of a constraint departure. No exceptional remuneration. Otherwise, conditions and maximum level of award are well described and linked to performance criteria. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The termination or change in control payments for executive directors or the Chair of the Board may not exceed two years of both annual fixed and variable compensation (stock options and other compensation excluded).²² The termination payments are not conditional on seniority criteria or with explicit performance requirements. The combination of a severance payment (or a non-compete clause) with an additional pension scheme. The post-mandate exercise of unvested stock-based plans or an indemnity compensating for his loss of the right to exercise the stock-based plans. The severance payment can be given in case of resignation. Exceptional remuneration is granted without any compelling explanation or not linked to performance conditions. 	
Remuneration of the non-executive directors		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Linked to the attendance of directors to the board and committees, and to the importance of carried out missions, and in line with benchmarks (based on country practices). Full disclosure of all remuneration components for each director serving on the board. The different elements constituting the pay need to be identified and their respective policies explained. The pay should be transparent enough for shareholders to allow them to distinguish the remuneration of executives from that of non-executive directors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not linked to attendance. The individual amounts are not communicated (To be reviewed on a case-by-case basis depending on market and company practices²³). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not linked to attendance and deemed excessive. The global and/or individual amounts are not communicated (To be reviewed on a case-by-case basis depending on market and company practice²⁴).
Employee remuneration		For the other beneficiaries of the plan (excluding the top executives), the principles are less strict (especially regarding the performance criteria) and analysed in light of the global condition of the plan.		

²² Case-by-case basis based on market practice (e.g. one year in UK and Netherlands).

²³ We will abstain if the market practice is not to communicate such information, and vote against if it is market practice to provide this information.

²⁴ We will abstain if the market practice is not to communicate such information, and vote against if it is market practice to provide this information.

4.5 OTHER VOTING ISSUES

Voting issue	For	Abstain	Against
Changes to Company Statutes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> By-laws that respect the “one share – one vote – one dividend” principle. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resolutions that carry adverse impacts on shareholder rights (To be considered on a case-by-case basis in light of information provided by the company) Multiple Voting Shares or non-Voting Depository Receipts Ownership ceiling or voting right ceiling, Priority shares, Golden share Statutory disclosure thresholds below 5 percent of the issued capital Reduce the delay of declaration for the crossing of thresholds. 	
Related-party Transactions and other Resolutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is full disclosure of information relevant to the resolution and such information is presented in a fair and balanced way. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient disclosure of relevant information The related-party transactions include elements which may be contrary to our remuneration policy (see above). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resolutions bundled together that include a substantial and unacceptable proposal Blind resolutions The related-party transactions include elements which may be contrary to our remuneration policy (see above).
Shareholder proposals ²⁵	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate for general assembly and in line with stakeholders’ long-term interests. Shareholder proposal is in line with our voting guidelines and/or our Global Sustainability Strategy or Sector Policies. Resolutions introduce or facilitate legal proceedings to compensate shareholders for damage suffered by the company. Resolutions that help to improve social and environmental performance while contributing to the protection of stakeholders’ long-term interests. Resolutions in line with our climate change policy (e.g. carbon disclosure, or business strategy in alignment with a 1.5°C world). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the proposal is in line with stakeholders’ long-term interests but not in its application and/or if it has already been implemented by the company. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shareholder proposal is not in line with our guidelines. Shareholder proposal is not in line with stakeholders’ long-term interests. Shareholder proposal is not appropriate for the general meeting.

Any Other Voting Items: Any item that is not covered by these guidelines will be voted on a case-by-case basis taking into account the BNPP AM key proxy voting principles.

²⁵ Shareholder proposals are considered on a case-by-case basis in light of the justification by its authors and board support or justification of opposition.